

# **An Analysis of the Interactive Mechanism of the Integration of Modern Social Governance and Government Governance by Law**

**Wang Zhangyu**

Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot, Inner Mongolia, China

**Keywords:** Modern Social Governance, Government Governance, Legalization, Integration and Interaction Mechanism, Analysis

**Abstract:** after Entering the New Era of the Development of Socialism with Chinese Characteristics, Great Changes Have Taken Place in the Social Governance of China. the Concept of Governance, Social Environment, Working Conditions and Other Aspects Have Been Given New Connotations and Characteristics. the Realization of the Rule of Law Process of Modern Social Governance Has Become the Consensus Trend of the State and Society. in This Context, the Integration of the Rule of Law into the Modernization of Social Governance Will Inject New Vitality and Impetus into the Overall Process of Social Governance. after Combing the Current Research Literature, This Paper Summarizes the Goal of Modern Social Governance. on the Basis of the Necessity of Integration and Interaction between Modern Social Governance and Government Governance under the Rule of Law, This Paper Puts Forward the Realization Path of Integration and Interaction Mechanism.

## **1. Introduction**

### **1.1 Literature Review**

How to legalize social governance, which is the basic link and important content of the modernization of national governance, has naturally become a hot issue at this stage. Che Jue's scholars discussed the theoretical logic and practical path of the legalization of government social governance from the perspective of service-oriented government. Based on the typical innovation cases of local government social governance, the effect evaluation and path construction of rule of law were carried out (Che, 2018). According to Ma Yaling, the fundamental goal of China's social governance is to realize the modernization of governance in the new normal era. From the perspective of modern civilized state governance, social governance is a new development of state governance,. Only by adhering to the rule of law can the country and society continue to make breakthroughs in reform and realize the construction of a harmonious society (MA, 2015). Ma Jinxue's scholars, based on the process of social governance and rule of law, discussed the Countermeasures of the party's leadership under the changing trend. It is found that the party needs to change the concept and function of leadership in time, promote the innovation of the relationship between the party and the law, and promote the reform of the rule of law in the way of leadership (MA, 2015). Zhou Yanwu believes that rural social governance is an important part of social governance. To promote the progress of the rule of law in rural areas has a positive role in promoting the construction of the rule of law. However, there are some problems in the process of legalization of rural social governance, such as the lack of concept of the rule of law, the weak awareness of the rule of law and the absence of supervision mechanism. In this regard, the government should give full play to its leading role, and rapidly promote the dual construction of legal governance capacity and legal system of rural social governance (Zhou, 2018).

### **1.2 Research Purposes**

Social governance is an important part of national administrative work. It creates a crucial social environment for promoting economic development and building a harmonious society. Therefore, social governance is not only related to the concentration of state power, but also related to the

practical interests of each individual society. With the integration of China's social governance concept and internationalization, and the continuous strengthening of its own national strength, the current social governance has achieved gratifying results. However, restricted by the traditional concepts and the organizational structure of the government, the modernization process of social governance still faces many obstacles and difficulties. After combing the existing research literature, it is found that the legal integration of social governance and government governance has gradually become a new trend and direction. Based on this, based on the goal of modern social governance, this paper discusses the necessity of integration and interaction between modern social governance and government governance by law. Finally, combined with China's national conditions and social development situation, this paper puts forward the realization path of the integration and interaction mechanism of the two, in order to provide some ideas for promoting the construction of a harmonious society in China.

## **2. Modern Social Governance Objectives**

The thinking of social governance has changed from “domestic” to “global”. With the change and development of the global pattern, the international competition and cooperation situation is becoming increasingly fierce, which leads to great changes in the social governance environment of each country. The aggravation of cultural conflicts in various countries has further strengthened the phenomenon of crisis influence and risk communication, and also made social governance more difficult to some extent. Therefore, in this environment, the government's thinking of social governance also needs to be changed (he and Wu, 2015). Because the social governance of any country cannot be carried out alone, or it needs to be combined with the changing trend of the global political environment. The global transformation of social governance thinking will bring new challenges and opportunities to China's social governance. In this environment, the social governance system must be changed from closed to open to meet the development trend of globalization.

The leading concept of social governance changes from “interest” to “value”. Different from the simple pursuit of economic management, social governance has significant political, public and social characteristics. This requires that social governance cannot pursue individual interests, departmental interests and group maximization alone. According to the experience of public management over the years, the concept of social governance under the guidance of interests will increase social risks and social contradictions to a certain extent, resulting in management failure (Zhao and song, 2014). The transformation of the value concept to the value oriented direction can create a compound governance structure of multiple value pursuit and promote social harmony to the maximum extent.

The function of social governance changes from “maintaining stability” to “safeguarding rights”. In view of the special national conditions in the early development period, the functional orientation of China's social governance mainly emphasizes social stability. As for social justice and social participation, it can only be postponed temporarily. To a certain extent, this kind of social governance function orientation helps the national development to create a stable social environment, but it will also restrict the vitality of social development. With the continuous change of social living standards and cultural and ethical awareness, the pursuit of social people's awareness of rights is getting higher and higher. Therefore, the social needs of fairness and justice are gradually highlighted, and the solution of social conflicts is more inclined to use the thinking and way of rule of law.

The main body of social governance changes from “single” to “multiple”. In the past, the main body of social management was single, and the government mainly realized all functions. This kind of “autocratic” social management will not involve social organizations and citizens, resulting in excessive concentration of power, leading to corruption and other undesirable phenomena (Zhao and Guo, 2015). In this regard, the main body of social governance is gradually reformed, from a single government to a diversified composition, and constantly absorb social forces such as social

organizations, enterprises, and individual citizens, so as to meet the needs of diversified social governance.

The way of social governance changes from “rigidity” to “flexibility”. When the government implements social management, it should not only rely on rigid measures such as administrative punishment and legal restraint, or it will easily cause people's dissatisfaction. In fact, the governance of modern society needs more flexible governance thinking. The compulsory restriction should be transformed into the guided management, and the strengthened uniform should be transformed into the guided influence, so as to better serve the public and effectively alleviate all kinds of social contradictions.

### **3. Analysis on the Necessity of Integration and Interaction**

The transformation of modern social governance and the integration and interaction of government governance and rule of law are the innovative paths of contemporary national governance. Of course, in the process of transformation, modern social governance should not only realize the integration and interaction with government governance, but also connect and cooperate with other organizations. Therefore, it is of great significance to discuss the necessity of integration of the two for promoting interaction. First, the integration of modern social governance and the rule of law of government governance is conducive to the improvement of social governance capacity. Close integration of the modern transformation of government governance and social governance can pool and efficiently utilize various social governance resources. In the process of integration and interaction, the legalization of participants is one of the key nodes. In the initial stage of social governance transformation, there will inevitably be some problems and conflicts between the traditional management mode and the new governance mode. When participants can restrain their own behavior according to law, many contradictions and difficulties will be alleviated. The rule of law of government governance can give full play to the function of guiding norms and promote the transformation and adjustment of modern social governance. Second, the integration of modern social governance and the rule of law of government governance is conducive to the construction of mutual trust and mutual assistance bridge. With the active and effective interaction between the two, the government can maximize the service effect and provide sufficient assistance for the governance subjects through policy subsidies, supervision and management and other administrative means. In essence, social governance transformation is to actively absorb the participation of ordinary citizens, social organizations and other subjects, and build a new governance system. On the one hand, this approach can effectively improve the social status of the people, and at the same time, it can break through the barriers of governance information transmission, and help the government realize the timely transmission of macro administrative guidance at the national level. The accurate and efficient transmission of governance information will build a bridge of mutual trust and mutual assistance between the government and the public, so as to promote the smooth realization of social governance goals. Third, the integration of modern social governance and the rule of law of government governance is conducive to the active participation of governance subjects. The goal of modern social governance needs to change to diversification, in which we should fully absorb the positive help of all kinds of social forces. And the legalization of government governance also needs the openness and transparency of the rule of law environment, and constantly deepen the relationship between the government and ordinary citizens and other social organizations. Therefore, the modern transformation of social governance integrates the legalization of government governance, which can fully mobilize the participation of governance subjects at all levels, thus accelerating the transformation process of social governance.

## **4. Implementation Path of Integration and Interaction Mechanism**

### **4.1 Establish the Concept of Integration and Interaction and Promote the Construction of Integration and Interaction Mechanism**

The government and other social governance subjects should establish the governance concept of interactive integration, so as to fundamentally promote the construction of integration and interaction mechanism. First, we should pay full attention to the rule of law and design a comprehensive, detailed and reasonable rule of law system. Specifically, the relevant departments should constantly improve the current laws and regulations system and constantly revise unreasonable regulations according to the social governance objectives, so as to facilitate the smooth realization of social governance objectives. At the same time, the central government plays a leading role, actively encourages local governments and other departments to actively participate in the process of rule of law, and creates a good institutional environment for the integration and interaction mechanism. The second is to strengthen the publicity and distribution of social governance, so that social individuals at all levels are actively concerned about social governance objectives and specific work. Third, we should widely absorb the reasonable suggestions from the grass-roots departments and ordinary people, so as to promote the unity of social governance and people's production and living needs.

### **4.2 Improve People's Participation in Governance and Fully Mobilize the Enthusiasm of Participants**

Safeguarding the interests of the people is the ultimate goal of social governance. In this process, the people have to go through a long process of understanding, supporting, actively participating and measuring the effectiveness of the new social governance model. Therefore, in order to promote the realization of the integration and interaction mechanism, the government should improve the subject status of people's participation in social governance, so as to fully mobilize the participation enthusiasm of ordinary people and other organizations. We can widely listen to and absorb reasonable suggestions and opinions, and make adjustments and feedback through innovative public participation platforms.

### **4.3 Build a Modern Interactive Platform and Improve the Realization Efficiency of the Integrated Interactive Mechanism**

With the development and popularization of modern network information technology, informatization has become an important breakthrough direction in many fields. Naturally, social governance should follow the trend of the times, build a modern interactive platform, and effectively improve the efficiency of the integration and interaction mechanism. The government plays a leading role in encouraging and guiding local relevant departments to establish online platforms, allowing people to visit through network ports, making suggestions and supervision on the government's social governance work, and helping the government to achieve social governance goals more smoothly.

## **References**

- [1] Che D.J.(2018). Theoretical logic and practical path of government social governance legalization -- Comments on Tao Lin's research on government social governance legalization from the perspective of service-oriented government. Forum on industry and technology, 13 (04), 138-140.
- [2] Ma Y.L. (2015). On the rule of law is the core to promote the modernization of national governance system and governance capacity. Journal of Shaanxi Youth Vocational College, 22 (03), 72-75.
- [3] Ma J.X. (2015). Analysis of countermeasures to change the party's leadership style in the

process of social governance and legalization. *Bridge of Century*, 29 (07), 67-68.

[4] Zhou Y.W. (2018). On the principles and paths of legalization of rural social governance. *Cultural and educational materials*, 61 (33), 85-86.

[5] He X.L., Wu C.J. (2015). Promoting the modernization of national governance by the rule of law. *Journal of China three governments University (humanity & SOCIAL SCIENCES)*, 37 (4), 28-28.

[6] Zhao L.Y., Song Z.L. (2014). Characteristics of modern social governance and problems in social governance in China. *Journal of Shenyang official*, 16 (4), 38-39.

[7] Zhai X.Y., Guo Y.F. (2015). The legalization of letters and calls and social negotiations & problems, 5 (3), 30-49.